Engineering Software P.O. Box 2134, Kensington, MD 20891

P.O. Box 2134, Kensington, MD 20891 Phone: (301) 919-9670 E-Mail: info@engineering-4e.com Web Site: http://www.engineering-4e.com

Power Cycle Components/Processes

by

Engineering Software

Copyright © 1996

Table of Contents

Compression	2
Analysis	2
Assumptions	5
Governing Equations	6
Input Data	6
Results	6
Conclusions	8
Combustion	9
Analysis	9
Assumptions	14
Governing Equations	15
Input Data	16
Results	17
Figures	18
Conclusions	25
Expansion	26
Analysis	26
Assumptions	29
Governing Equations	30
Input Data	30
Results	30
Conclusions	32

Compression

This section provides an isentropic compression analysis when the working fluid is air.

Analysis

In the presented compression analysis, only air is considered as the working fluid behaving as a perfect gas -- specific heat has a constant value. Ideal gas state equation is valid -- pv = RT.

Air enters a compressor at point 1 and it exits the compressor at point 2. Isentropic compression is considered with no entropy change.

Figure 1 presents a compression schematic layout.



Working Fluid In

Compression Schematic Layout



Figure 2 presents a compression temperature vs entropy diagram.



Епигору -- s [кы/кg k]

Compression T - s Diagram

Figure 2 - Compression Temperature vs Entropy Diagram

Figure 3 presents compression specific power input requirements for a few typical compression ratio values. It should be noted that the air enters the compressor at standard ambient conditions of 298 [K] and 1 [atm] of absolute pressure.

Compression Specific Power Input



Figure 3 - Compression Specific Power Input

Figure 4 presents compression power input requirements for two typical compression ratio values and a few different working fluid mass flow rate values.

Compression Power Input

_

Compressor Inlet Temperature: 298 [K]

Figure 4 - Compression Power Input

One can notice that both compression specific power input and power input increase with an increase in the compression ratio values. As the working fluid mass flow rate increases for a fixed compression inlet temperature value, the compression power input requirements increase too.

Assumptions

Working fluid is air. There is no friction and heat transfer. Compression is isentropic -- there is no entropy change. Ideal gas state equation is valid -- pv = RT. Air behaves as a perfect gas -- specific heat has a constant value.

Governing Equations

$$T_2/T_1 = (p_2/p_1)^{(\varkappa-1)/\varkappa}$$
$$\varkappa = c_p/c_{\nu}$$
$$c_p - c_{\nu} = R$$
$$p\nu = RT$$
$$w = c_p(T_2 - T_1)$$
$$W = c_p(T_2 - T_1)m$$

Input Data

 $T_{1} = 298 [K]$ $p_{1} = 1 [atm]$ $p_{2} = 5, 10 \text{ and } 15 [atm]$ $R = 0.2867 [kJ/kg^{*}K]$ $c_{p} = 1.004 [kJ/kg^{*}K]$ $\kappa = 1.4 [/]$ m = 50, 100 and 150 [kg/s]

Results

Specific Power Input vs Compression Ratio Compression Inlet Temperature = 298 [K]

Compression F [/]	tio Specific Power Input [kW/kg/s]
5	174
10	278
15	349

Power Input vs Compression Ratio for a few Mass Flow Rates Compression Inlet Temperature = 298 [K]

Power Input [MW]	Mass Flow Rate [kg/s]				
Compression Ratio [/]	50	100	150		
5	8.68	17.37	26.05		
15	17.47	34.94	52.41		

Conclusions

Both compression specific power input and power input increase with an increase the compression ratio values. As the working fluid mass flow rate increases for a fixed compression inlet temperature value, the compression power input requirements increase too.

When you get a chance, please visit the following URL: <u>http://www.engineering-4e.com</u>

The above URL provides lots of free online and downloadable e-material and e-solutions on energy conversion.

Combustion

This section provides a combustion analysis for a few typical fuel cases (carbon, hydrogen, sulfur, coal, oil and gas) when the fuel reacts with air at stoichiometric conditions.

Analysis

In the presented combustion analysis, both fuel and air are at standard inlet combustion conditions of 298 [K] and 1 [atm] of absolute pressure. Furthermore, combustion at constant pressure is complete and with no heat loss.

During combustion, a large amount of reactants' chemical energy gets released in the form of thermal energy.

Fuel higher heating value (HHV) or heat of combustion is the difference between the reactants enthalpy value and the combustion products enthalpy value per unit mass amount of fuel at the standard reference temperature, which is 298 [K].

When the reactants specific enthalpy value is equal to the combustion products specific enthalpy value, one can calculate the combustion products flame temperature or adiabatic temperature.

Figure 1 presents how the reactants and combustion products specific enthalpy values change with an increase in the temperature.

Power Cycle Components/Processes



Combustion h - T Diagram

Figure 1 - Reactants and Combustion Products Specific Enthalpy vs Temperature

Physical properties for both reactants and combustion products are very important and need to be known in order to carry out successful combustion calculations.

Figure 2 depicts how the reactants and combustion products species specific enthalpy values change with the temperature. The physical properties provided in Figure 2 come from the JANAF Thermochemical Data - Tables, 1970.



Specific Enthalpy vs Temperature

Figure 2 - Reactants and Combustion Products Species Specific Enthalpy vs Temperature

It is interesting to note that the specific enthalpy value for basic combustion elements such as carbon (C), hydrogen (H₂), sulfur (S), oxygen (O₂) and nitrogen (N₂) is equal to zero at the standard combustion conditions of 298 [K] and 1 [atm].

Also, it should be mentioned that for ideal gas species, the specific enthalpy values are only dependent on the temperature.

In addition to knowing the reactants and combustion products physical properties, for any kind of combustion analysis and calculations, it is important to know both oxidant and fuel compositions.

Oxidant composition is usually given on the mole/volume basis. For solid and liquid type fuels, the fuel composition is given on the weight basis for a unit mass amount. For the gas type fuels, the fuel composition is provided on the mole/volume basis for a unit volume amount. In this analysis, methane (CH₄) is considered as the gas fuel. In order to keep the combustion analysis simple and straightforward, the CH₄ composition is provided on the weight basis.

Table 1 provides the standard air composition.

Table 1 - Oxidant Composition									
Oxidant	N [kg/kg]	O [kg/kg]	N [kmol/kmol]	O [kmol/kmol]					
Air	0.767	0.233	0.790	0.210					

Table 2 provides the fuel composition.

Fuel	C [kg/kg]	H [kg/kg]	S [kg/kg]	N [kg/kg]	O [kg/kg]	H₂O [kg/kg]	CH₄ [kg/kg]	
Carbon	1.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	-	
Hydrogen	0.000	1.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	-	
Sulfur	0.000	0.000	1.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	-	
Coal	0.780	0.050	0.030	0.040	0.080	0.020	-	
Oil	0.860	0.140	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	-	
Gas	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.000	

Table 2 - Fuel Composition

Again, in this combustion analysis, only the stoichiometric combustion is analyzed. Results of such analysis are provided, including combustion products composition on weight and mole/volume basis, flame temperature, stoichiometric oxidant to fuel ratio and fuel higher heating value (HHV).

Table 3 provides the combustion products composition on the weight basis.

Fuel CO ₂ [kg/kg		CO ₂ H ₂ O [kg/kg] [kg/kg]		N₂ [kg/kg]	O ₂ [kg/kg]
Carbon	0.295	0.000	0.000	0.705	0.000
Hydrogen	0.000	0.255	0.000	0.745	0.000
Sulfur	0.000	0.000	0.378	0.622	0.000
Coal	0.249	0.041	0.005	0.705	0.000
Oil	0.202	0.080	0.000	0.718	0.000
Gas	0.151	0.124	0.000	0.725	0.000

Table 3 - Combustion Products Composition on the Weight Basis

Table 4 - Combastion reducts Composition on the Mole Dasis								
Fuel	CO₂ [kmol/kmol]	H ₂ O SO ₂ ol] [kmol/kmol] [kmol/kmol]		N₂ [kmol/kmol]	O₂ [kmol/kmol]			
Carbon	0.210	0.000	0.000	0.790	0.000			
Hydrogen	0.000	0.347	0.000	0.653	0.000			
Sulfur	0.000	0.000	0.210	0.790	0.000			
Coal	0.170	0.068	0.002	0.759	0.000			
Oil	0.132	0.129	0.000	0.739	0.000			
Gas	0.095	0.190	0.000	0.715	0.000			

Table 4 provides the combustion products composition on the mole basis.

	Table 4 - Combustion	Products	Composition	on the	Mole Basis
--	-----------------------------	----------	-------------	--------	------------

When considering coal, oil and gas as the fuel, coal has the largest amount of CO₂ in the combustion products on both weight and mole basis.

Table 5 provides the combustion products flame temperature, stoichiometric oxidant to fuel ratio and the fuel higher heating value.

Table 5 - Combustion Products Flame Temperature, Stoichiometric Oxidant to Fuel Ratio
and Fuel Higher Heating Value

Fuel	Flame Temperature [K]	Stoichiometric Oxidant to Fuel Ratio [/]	HHV [Btu/Ibm]
Carbon	2,460	11.444	14,094
Hydrogen	2,525	34.333	60,997
Sulfur	1,972	4.292	3,982
Coal	2,484	10.487	14,162
Oil	2,484	14.649	20,660
Gas	2,327	17.167	21,563

Stoichiometric oxidant to fuel ratio is the mass of air required for complete combustion of a unit mass of fuel. Thus, 1 [kg] of carbon fuel requires 11.444 [kg] of air for complete, ideal combustion.

Today, global warming is becoming more evident and it is being said that it is primarily caused by CO₂ emissions. A detailed combustion analysis, as it is provided here, can be very useful in determining different fuel and technology scenarios that would result in the reduction of current CO₂ emissions.

Assumptions

Both fuel and air are at standard inlet combustion conditions of 298 [K] and 1 [atm] of absolute pressure.

Furthermore, combustion is complete and with no heat loss.

Combustion Schematic Layout



Combustion Schematic Layout

Species Molecular Weight										
Species C H2 S O2 N2 CO2 H2O SO2 CH									CH₄	
Molecular Weight [kg/kmol]	12	2	32	32	28	44	18	64	16	

Mole Flow Rates for 1 [kmol] of Fuel Species												
	Fuel Composition				Oxio Compo	dant osition		Combustion Products Composition				
Fuel	C [kmol]	H ₂ [kmol]	S [kmol]	CH₄ [kmol]	O₂ [kmol]	N₂ [kmol]	CO ₂ [kmol]	H₂O [kmol]	SO₂ [kmol]	O₂ [kmol]	N ₂ [kmol]	
Carbon	1	0	0	0	1	3.762	1	0	0	0	3.762	
Hydrogen	0	1	0	0	0.5	1.881	0	1	0	0	1.881	
Sulfur	0	0	1	0	1	3.762	0	0	1	0	3.762	
Coal	1	1	1	0	2.5	9.404	1	1	1	0	9.404	
Oil	1	1	0	0	1.5	5.642	1	1	0	0	5.642	
Gas	0	0	0	1	2	7.524	1	2	0	0	7.524	

Stoichiometric Oxidant and Combustion Products

Stoichiometric Oxidant to Fuel Ratio, Oxidant and Combustion Products Mass Flow Rates for 1 [kmol] of Fuel Species

	Fuel Composition			Oxidant Composition		Combustion Products Composition				Stoichiometric Oxidant to Fuel		
Fuel	C [kg]	H₂ [kg]	S [kg]	CH₄ [kg]	O ₂ [kg]	N₂ [kg]	CO ₂ [kg]	H₂O [kg]	SO ₂ [kg]	O ₂ [kg]	N₂ [kg]	Ratio [/]
Carbon	12	0	0	0	32	105.336	44	0	0	0	105.336	11.444
Hydrogen	0	2	0	0	16	52.668	0	18	0	0	52.668	34.333
Sulfur	0	0	32	0	32	105.336	0	0	64	0	105.336	4.292
Coal	12	2	32	0	80	263.312	44	18	64	0	263.312	7.463
Oil	12	2	0	0	48	157.976	44	18	0	0	157.976	14.712
Gas	0	0	0	16	64	210.672	44	36	0	0	210.672	17.167

Governing Equations

Fuel higher heating value (HHV) or heat of combustion is the difference between the reactants enthalpy value and the combustion products enthalpy value per unit mass amount of fuel at the standard reference temperature, which is 298 [K].

When the reactants specific enthalpy value is equal to the combustion products specific enthalpy value, one can calculate the combustion products flame temperature or adiabatic temperature.

Input Data

Table 1 - Oxidant Composition							
Oxidant	N [kg/kg]	O [kg/kg]	N [kmol/kmol]	O [kmol/kmol]			
Air	0.767	0.233	0.790	0.210			

Table 1 - Oxidant Composition

Table 2 - Fuel Composition

Fuel	C [kg/kg]	H [kg/kg]	S [kg/kg]	N [kg/kg]	O [kg/kg]	H₂O [kg/kg]	CH₄ [kg/kg]
Carbon	1.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	-
Hydrogen	0.000	1.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	-
Sulfur	0.000	0.000	1.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	-
Coal	0.780	0.050	0.030	0.040	0.080	0.020	-
Oil	0.860	0.140	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	-
Gas	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.000

Results

Fuel	CO ₂ [kg/kg]	H₂O [kg/kg]	SO₂ [kg/kg]	N ₂ [kg/kg]	O ₂ [kg/kg]
Carbon	0.295	0.000	0.000	0.705	0.000
Hydrogen	0.000	0.255	0.000	0.745	0.000
Sulfur	0.000	0.000	0.378	0.622	0.000
Coal	0.249	0.041	0.005	0.705	0.000
Oil	0.202	0.080	0.000	0.718	0.000
Gas	0.151	0.124	0.000	0.725	0.000

Table 3 - Combustion Products Composition on the Weight Basis

Table 4 - Combustion Products Composition on the Mole Basis

Fuel	CO₂ [kmol/kmol]	H ₂ O [kmol/kmol]	SO₂ [kmol/kmol]	N ₂ [kmol/kmol]	O ₂ [kmol/kmol]
Carbon	0.210	0.000	0.000	0.790	0.000
Hydrogen	0.000	0.347	0.000	0.653	0.000
Sulfur	0.000	0.000	0.210	0.790	0.000
Coal	0.170	0.068	0.002	0.759	0.000
Oil	0.132	0.129	0.000	0.739	0.000
Gas	0.095	0.190	0.000	0.715	0.000

Table 5 - Combustion Products Flame Temperature, Stoichiometric Oxida	nt to Fuel Ratio
and Fuel Higher Heating Value	

Fuel	Flame Temperature [K]	Stoichiometric Oxidant to Fuel Ratio [/]	HHV [Btu/lbm]
Carbon	2,460	11.444	14,094
Hydrogen	2,525	34.333	60,997
Sulfur	1,972	4.292	3,982
Coal	2,484	10.487	14,162
Oil	2,484	14.649	20,660
Gas	2,327	17.167	21,563

Figures





Figure 1 - Reactants and Combustion Products Specific Enthalpy vs Temperature



Specific Enthalpy vs Temperature

Figure 2 - Reactants and Combustion Products Species Specific Enthalpy vs Temperature



Combustion Products -- Weight Basis

Fuel and Oxidant Inlet Temperature: 298 [K] Combustion Products - Weight Basis



Combustion Products -- Mole Basis

Fuel and Oxidant Inlet Temperature: 298 [K] Combustion Products - Mole Basis



Combustion Products Flame Temperature

Fuel and Oxidant Inlet Temperature: 298 [K] Combustion Products Flame Temperature



Combustion Stoichiometric Oxidant to Fuel Ratio

Fuel and Oxidant Inlet Temperature: 298 [K]

Combustion Stoichiometric Oxidant to Fuel Ratio



Higher Heating Value (HHV)

Fuel and Oxidant Inlet Temperature: 298 [K] Higher Heating Value (HHV)

Conclusions

Hydrogen as the fuel has the highest flame temperature, requires the most mass amount of oxidant/air in order to have complete combustion per unit mass amount of fuel and has the largest fuel higher heating value.

When hydrogen reacts with oxidant/air, there is no CO₂ present in the combustion products.

When you get a chance, please visit the following URL: <u>http://www.engineering-4e.com</u>

The above URL provides lots of free online and downloadable e-material and e-solutions on energy conversion.

Expansion

This section provides an isentropic expansion analysis when the working fluid is air.

Analysis

In the presented expansion analysis, only air is considered as the working fluid behaving as a perfect gas - specific heat has a constant value. Ideal gas state equation is valid -- pv = RT.

Air enters a turbine at point 1 and it exits the turbine at point 2. Isentropic expansion is considered with no entropy change.

Figure 1 presents an expansion schematic layout.



Working Fluid In

Expansion Schematic Layout



Figure 2 presents an expansion temperature vs entropy diagram.



Expansion T - s Diagram

Figure 2 - Expansion Temperature vs Entropy Diagram

Figure 3 presents expansion specific power output values for a few typical expansion ratio values. It should be noted that the air enters the turbine at the temperature of 1,500 [K] and the turbine exhaust pressure is always equal to the standard ambient pressure -- 1 [atm] of absolute pressure.

Expansion Specific Power Output



Figure 3 - Expansion Specific Power Output

Figure 4 presents expansion power output values for two typical expansion ratio values and a few different working fluid mass flow rate values.



Expansion Power Output

Working Fluid: Air Turbine Inlet Temperature: 1,500 [K]

Figure 4 - Expansion Power Output

One can notice that both expansion specific power output and power output increase with an increase in the expansion ratio values. As the working fluid mass flow rate increases for a fixed expansion inlet temperature value, the expansion power output values increase too.

Assumptions

Working fluid is air. There is no friction and heat transfer. Expansion is isentropic -- there is no entropy change. Ideal gas state equation is valid -- pv = RT. Air behaves as a perfect gas -- specific heat has a constant value.

Governing Equations

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_1/T_2 &= (p_1/p_2)^{(\varkappa-1)/\varkappa} \\ \varkappa &= c_p/c_\nu \\ c_p - c_\nu &= R \\ p\nu &= RT \\ w &= c_p(T_1 - T_2) \\ W &= c_p(T_1 - T_2)m \end{aligned}$$

Input Data

 $T_{1} = 1,500 [K]$ $p_{1} = 5, 10 \text{ and } 15 [atm]$ $p_{2} = 1 [atm]$ $R = 0.2867 [kJ/kg^{*}K]$ $c_{p} = 1.004 [kJ/kg^{*}K]$ $\varkappa = c_{p}/c_{v} - \text{ for air } \varkappa = 1.4 [/]$ m = 50, 100 and 150 [kg/s]

Results

Specific Power Output vs Expansion Ratio Expansion Inlet Temperature = 1,500 [K]

Expansion Ratio [/]	Specific Power Output [kW/kg/s]		
5	555		
10	726		
15	811		

Power Output vs Expansion Ratio for a few Mass Flow Rates Expansion Inlet Temperature = 1,500 [K]

Power Output [MW]	Mass Flow Rate [kg/s]				
Expansion Ratio [/]	50	100	150		
5	27.76	55.51	83.27		
15	40.56	81.13	121.69		

Conclusions

Both expansion specific power output and power output increase with an increase in the expansion ratio values. As the working fluid mass flow rate increases for a fixed expansion inlet temperaure, the expansion power output values increase too.

When you get a chance, please visit the following URL: <u>http://www.engineering-4e.com</u>

The above URL provides lots of free online and downloadable e-material and e-solutions on energy conversion.